UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,342	11/10/2003	Marcus C. Minges	P60538US02NJ	5152
23378 7590 05/18/2007 BRADLEY ARANT ROSE & WHITE, LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT-NWJ			EXAMINER	
			MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J	
	BI9 FIFTH AVENUE NORTH IRMINGHAM, AL 35203-2104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHAM, AL 33203-2104			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/705,342	MINGES, MARCUS C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. McCarry, Jr.	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 February 2007</u> .					
· <u> </u>	·—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>4, 6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachment(s)	•					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohara et al (US 5,069,141).

Ohara et al discloses a rail mounted transportation system comprised of a rail 16, and a support body 24. The body 24 is further comprised of a load bearing section generally numbered as 43. The load-bearing unit includes a frame 54 and gripping mechanism 42. A lower wheel 78 on the body 24 is position under the rail 16 close to the load bearing section 43. An upper drive wheel 28 is positioned on the upper opposite side of the rail 16 from the lower wheel 78 and the load bearing section 43. The upper and lower wheels are adapted to produce a force against their respective sides of the rail in order to move the device along the rails and especially through curved portions, as shown in figures 5 and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohara et al (US 5,069,141).

Ohara et al discloses the transportation system as disclosed above. However, Ohara discloses an overhead conveyor where the load-bearing member is suspended form the body and overhead rail. Ohara et al does not show the load bearing section to be closer to the upper wheel. It is well known in the art that transportation systems with load bearing structures are not only overhead but also can be installed into a floor. It would have been obvious to understand that a transportation system, like that of Ohara et al could be positioned differently with the load bearing structure above the drive assembly, thereby making the load bearing structure closer to the upper wheel. This assembly would be beneficial to environments where the loads carried by the load bearing structure are too heavy or cumbersome to be suspended from overhead rails.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/27/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the load bearing section is not rigidly connected to the wheel section. The Examiner has interpreted part 43, the combination drive and suspension unit as the load bearing member. The unit 43 is rigidly connected to frame assembly 32, which is connected to the wheel assembly. The gripping assembly is connected to unit 43 to be raised and lowered. Unit 43 however, remains stationary with the frame and wheel assembly as the gripping assembly is raised and lowered.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-6683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:00am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (571) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J. McCarry, Jr.

Examiner Art Unit 3617

RJM May 1, 2007

> S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600